arXiv:1610.00108v1 [math.NT] 1 Oct 2016

A DIGIT REVERSAL PROPERTY FOR STERN POLYNOMIALS

LUKAS SPIEGELHOFER

Abstract. We consider the following polynomial generalization of Stern's diatomic series: let $s_1(x,y)=1$ and for $n\geq 1$ set $s_{2n}(x,y)=s_n(x,y)$ and $s_{2n+1}(x,y)=x\,s_n(x,y)+y\,s_{n+1}(x,y)$. The coefficient $[x^iy^j]s_n(x,y)$ is the number of hyperbinary expansions of $n-1$ with exactly i occurrences of the digit 2 and j occurrences of 0. We prove that the polynomials s_n are invariant under *digit reversal*, that is, $s_n=s_{n^R}$, where n^R is obtained from n by reversing the binary expansion of n.

1. introduction

The Stern sequence s is defined by the recurrence $s_1=1$, $s_{2n}=s_n$ and $s_{2n+1}=s_n+s_{n+1}$. It was pointed out by Dijkstra [2],[1, pp.230–232] that this sequence satisfies a symmetry property with respect to reversal of the binary expansion of n. More precisely, for a positive integer n having the proper base-2 expansion $n=\big(\varepsilon_\nu\varepsilon_{\nu-1}\dots\varepsilon_0\big)_2$ we define

$$n^R=\sum_{0\leq i\leq\nu}\varepsilon_{\nu-i}2^i=\big(\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_\nu\big)_2.$$

Theorem A (Dijkstra)**.** $s_n=s_{n^R}$.

Stern's sequence is closely related to continued fractions (see Stern [11], Lehmer [8], Lind [9], Graham, Knuth, Patashnik [6, Exercise 6.50]): if $n=(1^{k_0}0^{k_1}\cdots 1^{k_{r-2}}0^{k_{r-1}}1^{k_r})_2$, then s_n is the numerator of the continued fraction $[k_0;k_1,\dots,k_r]$. Theorem A is therefore the same as the statement that $[k_0;k_1,\dots,k_r]$ and $[k_r;k_{r-1},\dots,k_0]$ have the same numerator, which can be proved via continuants.

In [10], Morgenbesser and the author proved a digit reversal property for the correlation

$$\gamma_t(\alpha)=\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{0\leq n<N}\mathrm{e}\big(\alpha\sigma_q(n+t)-\alpha\sigma_q(n)\big),$$

where $\mathrm{e}(x)=\exp(2\pi ix)$ and $\sigma_q(n)$ is the sum of digits of n in base q ($q\geq 2$ an integer). That is, we proved that $\gamma_t(\alpha)=\gamma_{t^R}(\alpha)$, where the digit reversal is in base q. We note that for the case $q=2$ this statement is a special case of Theorem 2.1 below.

In this paper, we want to give a refinement of Theorem A, concerning *hyperbinary expansions*. A hyperbinary expansion [3] of a nonnegative integer n is a sequence $(\varepsilon_{\nu-1},\dots,\varepsilon_0)\in\{0,1,2\}^\nu$ such that $\sum_{0\leq i<\nu}\varepsilon_i2^i=n$. We call such an expansion *proper* if either $\nu=0$ or $\nu>0$ and $\varepsilon_{\nu-1}\neq 0$. Since we only work with proper expansions, we will usually omit the prefix "proper". By induction, using the defining recurrence relation, it is not difficult to see that s_n is the number of hyperbinary expansions of $n-1$ (see also Proposition 2.2, which generalizes this property).

The author acknowledges support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project F5505-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program "Quasi Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications".

2. Main results

Our main theorem generalizes Theorem A. We define bivariate polynomials $s_n(x,y)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(x,y) &= 1,\\ s_{2n}(x,y) &= s_n(x,y),\\ s_{2n+1}(x,y) &= x\, s_n(x,y) + y\, s_{n+1}(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that this definition of Stern polynomials differs from the one given in [4] and also from the definition in [7].)

Theorem 2.1. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$s_n(x,y) = s_{n^R}(x,y).$$

This theorem can be translated to a statement on hyperbinary expansions. For integers $i,j \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$ let $h_{i,j}(t)$ be the number of proper hyperbinary expansions $(\varepsilon_{\nu-1},\dots,\varepsilon_0)$ of $t-1$ such that $|\{\ell : 0 \leq \ell < \nu, \varepsilon_\ell = \mathtt{2}\}| = i$ and $|\{\ell : 0 \leq \ell < \nu, \varepsilon_\ell = \mathtt{0}\}| = j$. The following proposition connects the Stern polynomials $s_n(x,y)$ to hyperbinary expansions.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $n \geq 1$ is an integer. Then*

$$\sum_{i,j\geq 0} h_n(i,j) x^i y^j = s_n(x,y).$$

In other words, we have $h_n(i,j) = [x^i y^j] s_n(x,y)$, that is, the polynomial $s_n(x,y)$ encodes the number of hyperbinary expansions of $n-1$ having a given number of $\mathtt{2}$s and $\mathtt{0}$s. Combining Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we immediately get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $i,j \geq 0$. We have*

$$h_n(i,j) = h_{n^R}(i,j).$$

Let us illustrate this symmetry property by an example. The hyperbinary expansions of $18 = (\mathtt{10011})_2 - 1$ are $(\mathtt{10010})$, $(\mathtt{2010})$, $(\mathtt{1210})$, $(\mathtt{10002})$, $(\mathtt{2002})$, $(\mathtt{1202})$ and $(\mathtt{1122})$, while the hyperbinary expansions of $24 = (\mathtt{11001})_2 - 1$ are $(\mathtt{11000})$, $(\mathtt{10120})$, $(\mathtt{10112})$, $(\mathtt{10200})$, $(\mathtt{2200})$, $(\mathtt{2120})$ and $(\mathtt{2112})$.

3. Proofs

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** The main argument, which represents the induction step in the proof of the theorem, is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the following identities for 1×2*-matrices hold.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} AA &= (-y)\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} + (y+1)\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A,\\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA\,{}^tA &= (-y)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (y+1)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} AB &= x\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} + y\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} B,\\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA\,{}^tB &= x\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + y\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tB,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} BA &= y\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} + x\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A,\\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tB\,{}^tA &= y\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + x\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} BB &= (-x)\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} + (x+1)\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} B,\\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tB\,{}^tB &= (-x)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (x+1)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tB.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is by simple calculation and left to the reader. □

Let $n \geq 1$ be an odd integer. The general case follows from this one by repeatedly using the relation $s_{2n}(x,y) = s_n(x,y)$. Let $n = \sum_{i \leq \nu} \varepsilon_i 2^i$ be the binary representation of n and $\varepsilon_\nu \neq 0$. We prove the theorem by induction on ν. The case $\nu \leq 1$ is trivial, since in this case we have $n^R = n$. We write $A(\mathtt{0}) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $A(\mathtt{1}) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. By a simple application of the recurrence relation we have $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_{2n} \\ s_{2n+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) = A(\mathtt{0})\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_n \\ s_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_{2n+1} \\ s_{2n+2} \end{smallmatrix}\right) = A(\mathtt{1})\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_n \\ s_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since n is odd and $s_1(x,y) = s_2(x,y) = 1$ it follows from these identities that

$$s_n(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A(\varepsilon_1) \cdots A(\varepsilon_{\nu-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

and the statement of the theorem is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A(\varepsilon_1) \cdots A(\varepsilon_{\nu-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA(\varepsilon_1) \cdots {}^tA(\varepsilon_{\nu-1}) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\nu \geq 1$ and all finite sequences $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\nu-1})$ in $\{\mathtt{0}, \mathtt{1}\}$. We prove the statement by induction on ν, using Lemma 3.1. The statement is obvious for $\nu \leq 2$. For $\nu > 2$ we have four cases, corresponding to the four possible values of $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. By Lemma 3.1 there exist in each of the four cases coefficients α and β such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A(\varepsilon_1)A(\varepsilon_2) = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} A(\varepsilon_2)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA(\varepsilon_1)\,{}^tA(\varepsilon_2) = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}^tA(\varepsilon_2).$$

Applying the induction hypothesis (3.2) to the sequences $(\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\nu-1})$ and $(\varepsilon_3, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\nu-1})$ we obtain the statement. □

3.2. **Proof of Proposition 2.2.** In order to prove Proposition 2.2, we use the following recurrence for $h_n(i,j)$ (see [5]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $n \geq 1$*. Then*

$$\begin{array}{lcll}
h_1(0,0) & = & 1, & \\
h_1(i,j) & = & 0 & \text{for } (i,j) \neq (0,0),\\
h_{2n}(i,j) & = & h_n(i,j) & \text{for } i,j \geq 0,\\
h_{2n+1}(i,0) & = & h_n(i-1,0) & \text{for } i \geq 1,\\
h_{2n+1}(0,j) & = & h_{n+1}(0,j-1) & \text{for } j \geq 1,\\
h_{2n+1}(i,j) & = & h_n(i-1,j) + h_{n+1}(i,j-1) & \text{for } i,j \geq 1.
\end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, $h_t(0,0) = 0$ *if* t *is not a power of* 2*.*

Proof. The first two lines follow from the fact that the empty tuple () is the only hyperbinary expansion of 0.

The hyperbinary expansions of $2n-1$ are in bijection with the hyperbinary expansions of $n-1$ by deleting the lowest digit, which is a `1`. This explains line number three.

A hyperbinary expansion of $2n$ without `0` necessarily ends with the digit `2`, and the bijection is by deleting this digit. This gives line number four.

There is exactly one hyperbinary expansion of $2n$ without `2`, and it ends with `0`. The same argument applies here.

The sixth line is a combination of arguments as above.

Finally, integers having the binary expansion $(\mathtt{1}\cdots\mathtt{1})$ are the only ones without `0` and `2`, which proves the last line. □

We proceed by induction. The identity is trivial for $n=1$. Assume that $n=2u$ for some $u\geq 1$. We have $h_n(i,j)=h_u(i,j)$ and $s_n(x,y)=s_u(x,y)$. If $n=2u+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0\\ j\geq 0}} h_n(i,j)x^iy^j &= h_n(0,0)+\sum_{j\geq 1} h_n(0,j)y^j+\sum_{i\geq 1} h_n(i,0)x^i+\sum_{i,j\geq 1} h_n(i,j)x^iy^j\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 1} h_{u+1}(0,j-1)y^j+\sum_{i\geq 1} h_u(i-1,0)x^i\\ &+\sum_{i,j\geq 1}\big(h_u(i-1,j)+h_{u+1}(i,j-1)\big)x^iy^j\\ &+\sum_{\substack{i\geq 1\\ j\geq 0}} h_u(i-1,j)x^iy^j+\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0\\ j\geq 1}} h_u(i,j-1)x^iy^j\\ &= xs_u(x,y)+ys_{u+1}(x,y)=s_n(x,y).\quad\square\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Edsger W. Dijkstra. *Selected writings on computing: a personal perspective*. Texts and Monographs in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. Including a paper co-authored by C. S. Scholten.

[2] E.W. Dijkstra. problem section, problem 563. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, XXVII:115, 1980.

[3] Karl Dilcher and Larry Ericksen. Hyperbinary expansions and Stern polynomials. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 22(2):Paper 2.24, 18, 2015.

[4] Karl Dilcher and Kenneth B. Stolarsky. A polynomial analogue to the Stern sequence. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 3(1):85–103, 2007.

[5] Michael Drmota, Manuel Kauers, and Lukas Spiegelhofer. On a Conjecture of Cusick Concerning the Sum of Digits of n and $n+t$. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 30(2):621–649, 2016. arXiv:1509.08623.

[6] R. L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics: a Foundation for Computer Science*. Addison–Wesley, 1989.

[7] Sandi Klavžar, Uroš Milutinović, and Ciril Petr. Stern polynomials. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 39(1):86–95, 2007.

[8] D. H. Lehmer. On Stern's Diatomic Series. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 36(2):59–67, 1929.

[9] D. A. Lind. An extension of Stern's diatomic series. *Duke Math. J.*, 36:55–60, 1969.

[10] Johannes F. Morgenbesser and Lukas Spiegelhofer. A reverse order property of correlation measures of the sum-of-digits function. *Integers*, 12:Paper No. A47, 5, 2012.

[11] M. A. Stern. Ueber eine zahlentheoretische Funktion. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 55:193–220, 1858.

JKU Linz, Austria